Approved For Release 2004/03/16: CIA-RDP 80M01133A000600130007-2 Copy 7 of 7 4 March 1975

	TO: NSA
25X1	ATTENTION:
25X1	FROM: DCI/IC,
	SUBJECT: NRP COMINT Initiatives

- 1. Per your request, I have reviewed R24/SPO/026/75 titled "New COMINT Initiatives for Overhead." The following comments are in addition to those that surfaced during our meeting on 27 February.
- 2. The document dwells considerably on ELINT systems which are at some variance with the charge as stated by the DCI at the November 1974 ExCom. I would suggest inclusion of ELINT systems only in those areas where either existing ELINT systems can perform a meaningful new COMINT role or system interaction leads to more efficient use of resources.
- 3. There is no clear appreciation rendered of the value of the intelligence to be gained from new COMINT initiatives except for the well-informed, i.e., 200 more channels connotes only volume to some, not necessarily value. I would recommend some reorganization/addition of material to incorporate this aspect. The SIGINT environment of the 1980s might be lead-in to treatment of this.
- 4. The time scale of implementation should be portrayed with some sense of priority among the several initiatives. In your discussions with the NRO, some appreciation of costs should be ascertained and included. Technical feasibility, of course, is also important.
- 5. I suggest that charts, graphs, etc., be used wherever possible to either reduce the amount of prose or supplement the prose to clarify a particular statement or group of statements. You might consider an executive summary also.

- Approved For Release 2004/03/16 TCA-RDP80M01133A000600130007-2

- 6. Processing/exploitation should be discussed in relation to each initiative with some brief impact/assessment statement as to the feasibility with respect to costs, linguists, and technology needed. A matrix or similar graphic might be useful to portray/summarize this. New/Imaginative initiatives that address voice processing technology area would be welcomed as of interest to the DCI.
- 7. Presentation to the ExCom probably will be in the form of a briefing; hence, written material should be distributed in advance of the ExCom. Please coordinate this matter with the NRO Staff.
- 8. Please incorporate in your schedule a preliminary briefing to the D/DCI/IC at least 7-10 working days in advance of ExCom.

DCI/IC		

25X1 25X1

Approved For Release 2004/03/16 : CIA-RDP80M01133A000600130007-2

	Distribution:	
25X1		
	Copy 5 - CPAD Subject Copy 6 - CPAD Chrono Copy 7 - IC Registry	
25X1	IC.	_

			CONTI	ROL 1	10. L	// ^			
						Co	1707		
REFERRED TO		RECEIVED	······································		RELE	ASED	SEEN		
	SIGNATU	RE	DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME	NAME & OFFICE	SYMBOL [DATE
(Sega	etry								
10									
				-					
_									
	Нал	من ۷ ملام	مملت	انحما	مط	Can	rala		
		•••••	******	•••••	•••••	•			
		•••••	******	•••••	•••••	ı			
		•••••	******	•••••	•••••				
Access	to this do	cument	will	be i	restr	icted	to those	nersons	
-		red for							
	cieu	160 101	1116	shec	.1116	proj.	ecrs;		
•••••	•••••								
*******	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	• • • • • •	•••••	• ••••••	•••••	
			WAR	NING					
						Al 1 1	ed States within the		

25X1

approved by the appropriate authority.

action might have the effect of revealing the existence and nature of the source, unless such action is first

Satel 15

•Approved For Release 2004/03/16 : CIA-RDP80M01133A000600130007-2

DCI/IC 75-0907

3 MAR 1975

Lieutenant General Daniel O. Graham, USA Director, Defense Intelligence Agency Washington, D.C. 20301

Dear Dan:

I know you're still working the PL 93-552 high-cost lease problem. I think Bill Colby will support a bid to get relief for the DAS if we can make a good case.

It will take some doing, but I think you can tie the entertaining that attaches do in their quarters to sources that have an intelligence payoff.

If you agree, and if you can supply the ammunition, I'll ask Bill to cover the problem in his Congressional presentations and in a letter that you can use for support in the Pentagon.

I'll wait until I hear from you before bringing the problem up with Bill.

Sincerely,

Samuel V. Wilson Lieutenant General, USA D/DCI/IC

Distribution:

Orig. - Addressee

- 1 D/DCI/IC
- 1 IC Registry
- 1 MPRRD Subject
- 1 MPRRD Reading
- 1 MH Chrono

CTAT		
STAT	DCI/IC/MPRRD	(3Mar75)

Approved For Release 2004/03/16 : CIA-RDP80M01133A000600130007-2

STAT	INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY STAFF	
	the attacked will bring you up to Late on the attacke howeing problem. I tend to agree with marij's	
LLEGIB	sussented approach. Any thought or quidance?	一次一年多樣一致不明日 人名英格兰人 医皮耳氏 医神经病 计不同时间

INTELLIGIZATION CONTROL 200 HTOS/ BT AFFRDP80M0 13 3A00

240006001200072

3 March 1975 STAT AD/DCI/IC You wanted an informal note from STA1 to Danny Graham to raise the issue of high-cost leases for attache quarters. I think the attached will do the job. **STAT ILLEGIB**

ACTION

26 February 1975

╮	_	_	_

MEMORANDUM	FOR:			
SUBJECT	:	Government Leases	for	Attaches

- 1. You asked me to look into the problem of government leases for attache quarters. Here's the background:
 - a. Until FY 74, there were no limitations on DoD leases overseas. PL 93-166 of 29 November 1973, the FY 74 Military Construction Authorization Act, limited DoD to 7,500 foreign leases. The act put an average monthly cost limit of \$325 and an upper cost limit of \$625 on these leases. It also permitted the Secretary of Defense to exempt 300 of the leases from the upper cost limit. When DoD allocated the leases, DIA was given an average cost limit of \$477 per month for its foreign leases, but was not limited in the number of leases it could have. DIA also got 115 of the exempted, or high-cost, leases. All of DIA's leases are for attache system personnel.
 - b. PL 93-552 of 27 December 1974, the FY75 Military Construction Authorization Act, increased the number of DoD overseas leases to 12,000 and the average monthly cost limit to \$355. \ It cut, however, from 300 to 150, the number of high-cost leases that could be exempted from the \$625 ceiling.
 - c. DoD reallocation based on PL 93-552 gave DIA 183 leases at an average cost of \$485. It also redistributed the high-cost leases based on the number actually in effect. DIA had 100. An across-the-board 16% cut left DIA with 84, Army with 44, Navy with 15, Air Force with 5, and NSA with 2. These numbers have to be met by 30 June 1975.

- 2. ASD(I&L) represented DoD at the authorization hearing. DIA had a witness ready to testify, but was told that neither the witness nor written inputs were needed by I&L. Based on the attached report of the hearing, it appears that the I&L witness wasn't prepared to support DIA's case. He apparently had little or no backup material with him. The few items that Senator Symington requested that are in the record were provided after the hearing.
- 3. Unless given relief, DIA now has to find 16 high-cost leases to reduce or terminate by the end of this fiscal year. Of those in effect, three or four are only a few dollars above the \$625 limit and can probably be renegotiated within the ceiling. There are 13 or 14 others that DIA will try to renegotiate at a lower rate. These do not look too hopeful. Here are some of the complications:
 - a. Utilities and security are included in the cost of a lease. During the hearing, Senator Symington focused on a lease for a major in Adibjan, Ivory Coast, that runs \$16,062 per year. The annual utilities bill in that sum is \$7,138. The share for security is \$2,120 per year.
 - b. The rising cost of utilities threatens to push some of DIA's low-cost leases above the \$625 limit into the high-cost category.
 - A new lease in Egypt will cost DIA \$1,225 per month. Two new leases in Syria will cost \$975 and \$1,125 per month.

d. In the past, DIA has used intelligence contingency funds (ICF) to make up the difference between housing and station allowances and private rental costs for attache system personnel when government leases were not available. This kept people from having to pay out-of-pocket. DIA doesn't have the padding in its FY 76 ICF to make up the difference if the high-cost leases are converted to private rentals.

- e. To get down to 84 high-cost leases by 30 June, DIA will most likely have to move some of its attache system personnel in mid-tour. This is unsettling for a family. There are also sizeable out-of-pocket expenses that are not reimbursed when a family moves to new quarters.
- f. Some of the attache system people in high-cost leases are staff personnel--enlisted and secretaries. They have no representational function requiring quarters suitable for entertaining large numbers of people. They are in the high-cost leases because Approved For Release 2004/03/16: CIA2RDP80M01133A000600130007-2

adequate housing at lower cost simply isn't available. They would be hurt the most by mid-tour moves.

- 4. Contrary to my interim report to you, DIA does not have housing criteria established by country. Where local conditions permit, DIA tries to parallel DoD housing criteria for grade and duty. Although it would be difficult, DIA should be able to develop housing criteria for each country in which it has an attache office. The criteria would be based on considerations such as the kind and cost of housing available, the social customs of the country, the nature of the official community, the official social functions required of attaches, security needs, grade, duties and responsibilities. Armed with these criteria, DIA could support individual leases on their merits against agreed standards.
- 5. In my interim report, I told you that DIA found out about the Senate's intention to cut high-cost leases too late to bring it up during the FY 76 program and budget reviews. This isn't so.
 - a. DIA did mention to the ASD(I) Staff that they anticipated the problem, that they were working with I&L to solve it, and that if they needed any other help, they would ask ASD(I) for it. They never came back. The ASD(I) Staff assumed, therefore, that the problem was being handled satisfactorily between DIA and ASD(I&L).
 - b. I've discussed the problem with my ASD(I) counterpart, who is alerting Jim Vance. I've also suggested to DIA that they forthwith bring the ASD(I) Staff up to speed and keep them in the loop on the problem. DIA did go to the ASD(I) Staff yesterday to explain the problem. I'm told they are also preparing a memorandum to Dr. Hall. At least now, if we bring the subject up with ASD(I), they will know what we're talking about.

a. DIA should establish criteria for quarters for attache system personnel on a country-by-country basis to include requirements for in-quarters entertainment.

STAT

- c. In high-cost areas, such as Japan and Hong Kong, DIA should survey quarters available under the \$625 per month lease limit and be able to demonstrate why they are unsuitable. Photographs would be useful. (I saw photographs of the \$12,062 per year house in Adibjan that attracted so much of Senator Symington's attention. It certainly doesn't look "ostentatious" to me.)
- 7. The immediate problem is leased housing for FY 76. DIA is trying to work out alternatives to get down to 84 high-cost leases. Until this exercise is finished, we can't assess what, if any, real impact the reduction will have. Assuming that DIA will need relief, the options seem to be, in order of doability:
 - a. Redistribute the 150 high-cost leases that are available. This can be done within DoD. The feasibility depends on priorities for the leases among DIA, the Services and NSA.

b. Increase DIA's ICF limitation to cover the out-of-pocket cost that attache system personnel would have to pay if high-cost leases were converted to private rentals. This could probably be justified for officer personnel whose social and representational contacts can be related to the intelligence mission, but not for staff personnel.

- Funds in the Other Purchased Services element of expense under the O&M appropriation. It is appropriated specifically to Defense agencies and the Services and cannot be reprogrammed from a Service to a Defense agency without Congressional approval.
- -- DoD could redistribute Emergency and Extraordinary Expense fund limitations among Defense agencies (i.e., DMA to DIA) to increase DIA's ICF limitation. This wouldn't provide the O&M funds; DIA would have to take that out of hide. (I can't tell yet how much money would be needed.) If DIA couldn't reprogram O&M, they would have to go back to Congress for a supplemental.

Approved For Release 2004/03/16 : CIA-RDP80M01133A000600130007-2

- -- Al South's shop in ASD (Comptroller) takes care of Emergency and Extraordinary Expense funds. The use of ICF funds for partial payment of family housing leases isn't advertised, although I believe Al South is aware its being done. It might not be useful to attract too much attention to the practice.
- -- Using ICF money for this purpose could be seen as an attempt to circumvent the will of Congress.
- c. Go back to Senate Subcommittee on Military Construction and ask for an exception to the language in the Authorization Act that limits high-cost leases. Supplementary appropriations wouldn't be needed; DIA has sufficient family housing funds to cover the leases if they are approved. The exception could be justified, but it would take a lot of work to convince Symington. (At any rate, DIA should begin now to build a strong case for FY 77.)

8. Here's what I think we should do:

- a. Find out how DIA works out options and their impacts. I'll stay in touch with Pat Uglietta on this. It might also be useful for General Wilson to discuss DIA's approach to the problem with General Crittenberger, Pat's boss.
- b. If DIA can justify a request for relief, we should prepare for the Director:
 - -- A memorandum to General Graham that supports DIA's case.
 - -- A short pitch for him to use in his Congressional presentations.

c. In any event, we shouldn't until DIA does its homework and we	commit t e know v	the Dire what, if	ctor to an any, the	cost and
operational impacts are.				

STAT

STAT

Attachment: As stated

Distribution:

Original - Addressee

1 - Subj.

<u>5</u>

Approved Por Refease 2004/03/16 : CIA-RDP80M01133A000600130007-2

IC/MPRRD:

Zoren (a)

STAT

XX16/36

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION FISCAL YEAR 1975

ENSE CORN Hi

INGS

HEARINGS

SUBCOMMITTEE ON MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES UNITED STATES SENATE

NINETY-THIRD CONGRESS

SECOND SESSION

ON

S. 3471

A BILL TO AUTHORIZE CERTAIN CONSTRUCTION AT MILITARY INSTALLATIONS, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES

JULY 10, 11, 12, 18, 1974

Deleted for the ive of the Committee on Armed Services



PLEMENTS TO LOWER PAY GRADES

2.0 million for the extension of entire idents and household goods, station here d personnel (E-1 through E-4 with Ly eviously authorized such entitlements. Authorization Bill proposes construction the junior enlisted personnel at an etc. domestic leases for these personnel at 4

msing and for entitlements for junior to million.

507(b) relates to overseas leasing crease and an increase in the unit) to 12,000 units. About 4,000 of the nany. What is your deficit of house titled to quarters, and how many of sed for the junior enlisted grade

it, Mr. Chairman, is around 13,00% At the inception of this program, the 1975 program, it was not enviaed personnel would benefit by the id, sir, when they became command rovided to them to transport their en there is no reason why they nal leases.

y noncommand sponsored dependverseas that will convert to comproposed new program?

0,000 married servicemen overseas, families with them.

y additional dependent would be-

, over 25,000 additional personnel over, and would be subject to this

ck again to the domestic leasing m Mr. Mendolia the early part of tatutory cost limitation for 1,000 poposed to \$310 you are requestors. What is this all about?

Chairman, it is to assure that the ly in the Army, does provide for onnel that have to commute long r recruiting areas. It is considered of the Army, that the recruiters serve, that they should participate ould be known in the community.

131

they of these people, of course, are in metropolitan areas that are

Genator Symington. Once again I want to emphasize the importerr from duty stations. of segregating and listing what we are doing in order to prothe only kind of an Army that we can have today under the and the sure that all the

Mr. FLIAKAS. Yes, sir. I will have to convey that, of course, to ar. Fuctions. 103, 511. I will have to convey that, of course, to generally the Assistant Secretary for Manpower, who should have mes are there. agaizance of all the costs. I can furnish in the record my part of it. Soundor Symington. I don't care how you convey it so long as

you convey it. Senator Symington. Last year when the Congress, for the first on overseas leasing the maximum cost fantation of \$625 per unit was waived on 300 units to avoid certain Endship cases and to avoid canceling certain high cost leases then greffect. It was expected that these leases would eventually be degased for the most part to come within the maximum limitation. Even so, we now find that during the past year 21 additional high west leases have been approved well above the new limitation of \$685 per month you are requesting. They range from \$8,621 to \$16,062 per month you are requesting. amum. None of these are for particularly high ranking personnel. that could conceivably need representational quarters.

Will you submit a list of these leases for the record and explain now why it was deemed necessary to approve such high cost leases?

Mr. FLIAKAS. Yes, sir; Mr. Chairman.

[The information follows:]

HIGH COST FOREIGN LEASES

The following leases have been approved by the Office of the Secretary of Defense for contract initiation or renegotiation since the enactment of statu-tory limitations upon the foreign leasing program.

		Grade	Annual cost
Military department or Defense	agency Country		\$13,595
	Brazil	0-7	9,000
Army	limatay	0-9	12,081 12,081
•	italy	0-9	12, 192
May	do	0-8	11,748
	do	0-7	9 780 9 900
	do	0-6	11,100
Air Force	Germany	0-6	12,500
DIA	Chile	0.6	15, 360 12, 224
	Hong Kong	0-5	11,981
	do	Control of the Contro	16,062
tagos de e	tvory Coast	0-6	14, 276 12, 260
•	Japan	0-5	11, 250
•	Liberla Mexico	0-/	14, 830
	Sudan	E-8	12,830 8,621
4.00	do	0.6	13,752
	Sweden	0-6	
	Zairo	and a second company of the company	

Fourteen of the above leases were approved based upon the intended occupant having U.S. Government representational responsibility. Six of the leases were approved because of the excessive advance rental payments which would

have caused a financial hardship upon the intended occupant. One lease by approved since the Ambassador had designated that only a limited number. housing units in a specified area of the city would be considered as seein enough for occupancy because of terrorist activity.

Mr. FLIAKAS. It is very difficult to establish standards that we ϵ_{ss} impose on the varying conditions in the various overseas areas, par ticularly for the Defense Intelligence Agency attache personnel that are attached to embassies in some exotic areas, and in some not so exotic areas. They are all over the world in some 60 or so locations including some additional locations, such as Bangladesh, the People. Republic of China, and Egypt, where we will have new attache misions. We find in talking to the foreign buildings division of the State Department, where we receive guidance concerning those penple that are attached to embassies, that there are no standards. We have attempted, for example, Mr. Chairman, to impose the new construction standards for military housing on these leases, but we find that they are just not applicable. Recently the Director of the Intelligence Agency-and this was concurred in by our Assistant Serretary of Defense for Intelligence, Dr. Hall-that they believe that their people require a certain amount of contact funds for entertainment purposes, and they require for the purposes

Senator Symington. That doesn't come under a lease, though,

does it?

Mr. Fliakas. Not the funds themselves but the necessary space to entertain.

Senator Symmetrix In other words, you pay \$16,000 a year for lease of an apartment, and you can get a penthouse at the Watergain for considerably less than \$16,000 a year rental. I was wondering where in Bangladesh you would find that type of housing?

Mr. Fliakas. Yes, we do, Mr. Chairman.

Senator Symmetron. I think Mr. Nease has a point. Are you putting the cost of the cocktail parties where you get your intelligence information, are you adding that as part of the cost of your lease?

Mr. Flixkas. No, sir, that comes under a separate fund. But we

Senator Sympagnon. This is getting to be the most expensive housing program that I have come across in the Government. I have

seen some pretty good ones.

Mr. Flakas. It is difficult to control, Mr. Chairman. We are attempting, with the cooperation of the military departments and the Defense Intelligence Agency, to develop standards and criteria. But

it is very difficult to develop a uniform criteria that would apply. Senator Symptor Luant a statement in the record as to what is -is necessary to have a lease cost-for someone who is not a partienlarly high ranking personnel of \$16,000 a year

Mr. FLIAKAS, I will provide that, Mr. Chairman.

Senator Symingron. I would like to have it in some detail, too.

Mr. Flankas, Yes, sir.

[The information follows:]

FOREIGN LEASE FOR \$16,000 PER YEAR The following data and justification was submitted in support of the subject

1. Duty Station: Defense Attache Office, American Embassy, Ivory Coast.

2. Inte _{racbe}, N 66, 13 Ju 3, Proj Const. D room, ki quarters

None, E. security paymen 4. Per MI U.S. quarters Commit

5. Na leasing quirem sive int the gov tong-ter original fease ex tion to rent \$7 option June 7

b. B Congre DAO to ar less to loca were f Housin nual re misslor pant's Conside of the ment. provid curren compa

> Tit Assistant Assistant Legal ad: Plans of

official

1 Uake

6. lease Coast those Emb owne and

> ment Th the large

cult to establish standards that we can ions in the various overseas areas, parlligence Agency attache personnel that some exotic areas, and in some not so the world in some 60 or so locations, itions, such as Bangladesh, the Peoples , where we will have new attache misthe foreign buildings division of the eceive guidance concerning those peossies, that there are no standards. We Mr. Chairman, to impose the new conry housing on these leases, but we find ole. Recently the Director of the Intelconcurred in by our Assistant Secrence, Dr. Hall—that they believe that amount of contact funds for entertaine for the purposes-

doesn't come under a lease, though,

themselves but the necessary space to

r words, you pay \$16,000 a year for a can get a penthouse at the Watergate 1,000 a year rental. I was wondering I find that type of housing?

Chairman.

Mr. Nease has a point. Are you putarties where you get your intelligence at as part of the cost of your lease? comes under a separate fund. But we

is getting to be the most expensive one across in the Government. I have

to control, Mr. Chairman. We are at of the military departments and the o develop standards and criteria. But niform criteria that would apply. a statement in the record as to why it st for someone who is not a particut \$16,000 a year. hat, Mr. Chairman. like to have it in some detail, too.

FOR \$16,000 PER YEAR
on was submitted in support of the subject
Office, American Embassy, Ivory Coast.

133

2. Intended Occupant: Major, U.S. Army, Position Title: Assistant Army Attache, Number of Dependents: Wife and 3 children (three sons, DOB 17 Aug 66, 43 Jul 68, 29 Mar 72).

3. Property to be Leased: Address: Lot 29, Chu, Cocody, Abidjan, Ivory Coast. Description: One two-story house consisting of a combined living-dining room, kitchen, 4 bedrooms, 3 bathrooms, storage room, garage and servants quarters approximately 24:39 square feet. Estimated Initial Get-Ready Cost: None. Estimated Per Annua Costs: Total \$16,062, Rental \$7404, Utilities \$7138, Security and Propertive Service plus Other \$2120. Estimated Advance Rental Payment Required: \$7404.

4. Per Annum MAHC \$4680.00 (No station housing allowance established). All U.S. Government personnel live in government-leased or government-owned quarters, and no station housing allowance is established by the Per Diem Committee.

5. Narrative Justification for Government Lease Requirements: a. Private leasing arrangements are not feasible in the Ivory Coast because of the requirement for sizeable advance rental payments and the necessity for expensive initial get-ready costs. Long-term government leases are advantageous to the government because they permit the Government to retain quarters on a long-term basis at a stable price. The current government lease ID-16 was originally negotiated for five years on 1 August 1969. The original term of the lease expires on 31 July 1974 with the lease containing a U.S. Government option to renew the lease for an additional five years at no increase in the current \$7404 rent. Notice of the Government's intention to exercise the renewal option must be provided the owner in viriting 30 days in advance (i.e., NLT 30 June 74).

b. By message to the DAO Ivory Coast on 22 May 74, DIA reiterated the Congressional, DOD and DIA concern about high-cost leasing. We directed the DAO to explore every possibility to obtain suitable quarters for the AARMA at less cost. On 1 Jun 74 the Defense Attache Office reported that their efforts to locate suitable quarters at less cost than those in effect 5 years previously were fruitless and that the American Ambassador concurred. The Embassy Housing Board, made up of all U.S. Agencies, has established maximum annual rental limit of \$9876 for all U.S. Government employees regardless of mission. Quarters leased under 1D-16 are considered average for the occupant's counterparts in Abidjan and are considered average for the occupant's counterparts in Abidjan and are considered ostentatious in any way. Considering all factors when compared to alternative housing, the acceptance of the renewal clause in 1D-16 is least costly alternative for the U.S. Government. The Embassy is currently required to pay \$6173 to \$7407 annual rent to provide apartments in Abidjan for single secretaries. The following illustrates current rentals paid by the U.S. Embassy in Abidjan for U.S. officials having

odicial entertainment (contact) responsibilities:

Title Dependents Annual rent

comparable grade of the AARMU with only the first two individuals having

tre lank natitions affines	0	3	PR R2
content light and olucer	 	75	77.66
anam 0515 militer	 		6, 67
ran agersor Atta	 	******	7, 89
31/3 Officer - AID	 		7,8

^{1 Hakaowa}.

6. Number of Milliary Personnel Assigned to Activity: 4 (two in government base and two in Embassy permits)

7. Certification of Appropriateness and comparability: The Embassy Ivory Coast has determined that the quarters are comparable in size and cost to those occupied by Embassy personnel of equal or similar status. Members of Embassy staff of equal or comparable status are occupying either government-swhol or leased quarters. Advance rental payments a custom of the country rad U.S. Embassy policy to obtain lease terms most favorable to the Government.

The Office of the Secretary of Defense approved the renewal of the lease for the Assistant Army Attache in the Ivory Const based upon the excessively large advance rental payment and the above justification.

134

Mr. Fliakas. As a matter of fact, I would like to submit the correspondence that set this out by the Director of Attache Services is the Defense Intelligence Agency,

Senator Symmeton. I would like to have that, too. Mr. Fliakas. Allright, sir.

[The information follows:]

DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY. Washington, D.C., May 30, 197).

Submitted after [Memorandum for the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Justallations and Logistics)]

Reference: Your memorandum, subject as above, dated 22 May 1974.

1. Referenced memorandum requests we provide your office, for review and approval, standards and criteria which can be utilized in evaluating the 114quacy and appropriateness of attache housing for personnel with official 6-8 tact (social entertainment) responsibilities. Also, in order to evaluate the total scope of the requirement, you have requested that we provide you with us total number of personnel in overseas areas who have official government sa: tioned and financially supported contact responsibilities. Thereby requires housing at a standard other than the statutory standard currently applicate to new construction of housing.

2. The Defense Attache System currently has Defense Attache Offices located in 84 foreign countries. The DAO locations vary from remote and isolated ϵ : ital cities sich as Ndjamena, Chad; Blantyre, Malawi; and Tananarive, Mars gasy, to modern cities such as Paris, Caracas and Tokyo. The types and available ability of adequate and suitable housing vary significantly from country country. In many countries, the housing is not designed functionally to say space. Often there is no middle class, and for this reason the acceptable Western style housing is built by a wealthy local official and will normally have extra space. The above situation, among others, makes it infeasible to establish a single set of housing standards for the Defense Attache System world-wide

3. Further complicating the problem is the fact that the Defense Attacks Offices are an integral part of the U.S. Embassy in-country. The attaches are diplomatically accredited to the host country and are important members of the U.S. Ambassador's staff. If they are to perform their official missions, the must host and aftend official functions comparable to those hosted and tended by their U.S. and foreign embassy counterparts. Social customs differ significantly from country to country. In most countries formal entertains: predominates, with a requirement that our principal attache quarters have a dining room with a capacity to seat 12-18 people. In certain countries when a more informal social atmosphere prevails, seating arrangements with green of card tables may be an acceptable form of dining arrangement. Thus, the housing requirements of the Attache System vary with the local social customs.

4. Summarizing paragraphs 2 and 3 above, relating to space requirements. general, we believe that principal attaches require quarters that will accoundate 12-18 persons for a sit-down dinner and a stand-up function for 100 s 125 persons. Assistant attaches require quarters that will accommodate 8% persons for a sit-down dinner and a stand-up social function for 50-75 pc sons. That area of the quarters occupied by principal and assistant attacks not frequented by guests requires only that space sufficient to meet the ocpant's family requirements. It should be noted that it is sometimes necessar to lease quarters with more bedroom space than actually required for a give attache's family requirements in order to obtain space for official contact for

5. The need for domestic employee quarters is dictated by the customs? the country. In most South American, African, Middle East and East Asia countries it is virtually impossible to lease suitable quarters that do not contain some form of quarters for domestic employees. The need for domestic cf ployee quarters is primarily dictated by the individual attache's level of office contact responsibility and the local security situation. From the standpoint large gardens, swimming pools and similar amenities, we do not consider the hard requ support 1 quarters ъ Уош tota from attache's me time parated t end funct 7. The Fystem Y In I rest attaches. S. We anch-cost their inte

arr Juissi

stiteria I

bet attne

about requ For the

1 Men

Eddort: Meterene The reabout c sul Chave in their Lumb miffit Presi

ndenjunt. Sena Chepmet क्षी∗सा..

wher he

medl gen

Mr. 1 Depart ide on satement.

Sena Country brad of which . Heular) You

 $M_{\rm Fe}$ 1

Approved For Release 2004/03/16: CIA-RDP80M01133A000600130007-2

would like to submit the corrector of Attache Services in

Were Will Day 19 - All the Constitution Con-

ive that, too.

ENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY, Washington, D.C., May 30, 1974,

ry of Defense (Installations

ountries se, dated 22 May 1974.

covide your office, for review and be utilized in evaluating the adeg for personnel with official coniso, in order to evaluate the total d that we provide you with the cho have official government sancsponsibilities. Thereby requiring ory standard currently applicable

as Defense Attache Offices located try from remote and isolated cap-, Malawi; and Tananarive, Malaand Tokyo. The types and avail-iry significantly from country to not designed functionally to save this reason the acceptable Westl official and will normally have s, makes it infeasible to establish fense Attache System world-wide, e fact that the Defense Attache assy in-country. The attaches are and are important members of rform their official missions, they parable to those hosted and at-unterparts. Social customs differ est countries formal entertaining rineipal attache quarters have a cople. In certain countries where seating arrangements with groups of dining arrangement. Thus, the ary with the local social customs. relating to space requirements, in juire quarters that will accommod a stand-up function for 100 to ters that will accommodate 8-12 ip social function for 50-75 perprincipal and assistant attaches space sufficient to meet the occud that it is sometimes necessary han actually required for a given in space for official contact func-

rs is dictated by the customs of an, Middle East and East Asian uitable quarters that do not conoyees. The need for domestic emdividual attache's level of official situation. From the standpoint of neuities, we do not consider these hard requirements for principal and assistant attache quarters. Normally, we apport leasing of quarters with these facilities only when alternative suitable quarters are not available at less cost.

135

6. Your memorandum requests our recommendations concerning distance criteria from the Embassy for adequate attache housing. As a general rule, the attache's residence should never be located more than 30-40 minutes commuting time to the Embassy. More important, however, is the fact that It must be beated in an area that will facilitate official foreign guests attending his so-cial functions, as well as the attache attending reciprocal social functions, 7. The current number of attache personnel throughout the Defense Attache

7. The current number of attache personnel throughout the Defense Attache System who have government sanctioned and financially supported official contact responsibilities is 189 principal Service attaches and 139 assistant Service

8. We appreciate the problem presented by the Defense Attache System high-cost lenses because of the controls recently established by Congress and their interest in DIA attache personnel lenses. We will assist you in any manner possible to justify and evaluate the requirement for these lenses. The final criteria by which DIA makes decisions regarding whether principal and assistant attache housing is or is not appropriate is based on a combination of mission requirements and cost effectiveness.

For the director:

Samuel V. Wilson, Major General, USA, Deputy Director for Attache Affairs,

Assistant Secretary of Defense. Washington, D.C., July 8, 1974.

ALBERT C. HALL.

[Memorandum for Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (Instaliations and Housing)]

Subject: High-Cost Leased Family Housing for Intelligence Personnel Reference: Your memorandum, subject as above, dated 21 June 1974

The referenced memorandum requests that I verify the DIA position on the above subject as reflected in the DIA response dated 30 May 1974.

I have reviewed the DIA response and have had my staff verify certain as-

I have reviewed the DIA response and have had my staff verify certain aspects. Based upon this review, I fully support the DIA position as expressed in their response.

I understand and appreciate the pressures you are under from Congress with respect to high-cost leased family housing for Defense personnel. On the other hand, the Defense Attaches are a vital part of our representational as well as intelligence efforts, and I ask for your continued support in insuring adequate housing for our Defense Attaches,

Senator Symmetron. You mentioned something about the State Department. This is not a State Department budget we are talking about.

Mr. Fliakas. No, sir; but we do have an agreement with the State Department for them to house our senior attaches. We do have to rely on them to certify that the querters are not ostentatious and are commensurate with the rank of the individual.

Seinton Sympton \$16,000 is ostentations in just about any country that I know of Por the Defense Intelligence Agency, or head of the National Security Agency, or even the head of the CIA, which doesn't come under you at all, that is a very heavy rent, particularly for the average country.

You mentioned Bangladesh. And that is the reason I bring that in.

Mr. Fliakas. Yes, sir. This is a new area.

Approved For Release 2004/03/16 : CIA-RDP80M01133A000600130007-2

Senator Symmeton. Would you submit a list of those leases an explain why it is necessary to have such high cost leases, the sar question?

Mr. Fliakas, I will, sir.

Senator Symington. What would be the effect if we should see: to reduce the number of excepted leases by about one-half? Would that assist your office in getting a better handle on the matter?

that assist your office in getting a better handle on the matter?

Mr. Fliakas. This would be punitive, I believe, sir, in the senthat would probably have to cancel existing leases, and it won take away any flexibility that we have to deal with in this matter. What we are trying to do is to develop a criteria and a standar that could be applied. As I indicated, it is very difficult, because comes under the heading of really subjective judgments on the part of both the occupant and the sponsor, in this case the State Department.

Senator Symmoton. We have had trouble like this before. When I was in the Pentagon we found some incredible situations when somebody just went off on their own and built things for which everybody got into trouble as a result, from the standpoint of was ing the taxpayers' money. That is a high figure for rent in any land that I know, with the possible exception of one or two. I think should be given further explanation.

Would you give us a brief explanation of the need for an additional five million dollars for homeowner assistance this year?

Mr. Fliakas. Yes, sir. This is required to take care of the applications of those individuals who were affected by the base closure at nouncement of April 17, 1973. It is a residual of those plus the additional base closure autouncements of this year, in February at March, particularly for Air Defense Commands of both the Armand the Air Force. We feel that this is the minimum requirement: this program to sustain its operation, and to provide relief to the homeowners that were affected.

Senator Symington. Thank you very much for your testimony.

And thank you, Mr. Harrington.

[Whereupon, at 12:30 p.m., the committee recessed, to reconversat 10 a.m., Thursday, July 11, 1974.]

MILITARY CO

Surce

The subcommittee 12. Richard B. Rusugton (chairman).

Present. Senators S Also present: Gore r. Campbell, clerical tor Symington.

Schuter Symineror Today, as a part to fiscal year 1975, pand their communicathe Indian Ocean task force.

It is to be recalled tal authorization bil committee without p military construction

The Navy has asked an additional \$5 mills have requesting \$3.2 for facilities they fee

During the heavist gone into to a certain sty Secretary of Defrom Adm. Thomas seem Chiefs of Statement the heavings.

We will hear from Military Policy Years, who is most fairs afternoon, in every of the Central I the situation.

Admiral, will you